

Anatomical structure alone cannot predict function

BEHAVIORAL AND BRAIN SCIENCES
20:2: 252-253, 1997

D. Jaeger¹, [E. De Schutter](#)²

1. Div. of Biology 216-76, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125

2. Born-Bunge Foundation, University of Antwerp - UIA, B2610 Antwerp, Belgium

Abstract

The central hypothesis of the target article that tidal waves of parallel fiber excitation precisely activate Purkinje cell spiking is hard to reconcile with recent neurophysiological and modeling data. The assumed pattern of mossy fiber input seems unrealistic, inhibition is likely to interfere with the proposed excitatory responses, and moreover, computer simulations show that the Purkinjecell is a poor coincidence detector.

While providing an interesting framework for understanding cerebellar function, the theory proposed by Braitenberg and colleagues is limited mostly to neuroanatomical speculation. In this comment we will show that recent physiological and modeling data cast doubt on three essential components of the theory: the pattern of mossy fiber input, the purely lateral inhibition and the sensitivity of Purkinje cells to waves of parallel fiber input. Unless major enhancements to the theory are made, and direct experimental evidence *in vivo* is found, we find it unlikely that the cerebellum is operating according to the principle of parallel fiber tidal waves.

The authors propose that only those spatiotemporal patterns of mossy fiber input that evoke waves of parallel fiber activity are effective in stimulating Purkinje cells. The simplicity of this system may seem attractive, but if it is true most patterns of mossy fiber input would not change cerebellar output at all. Even if the fractured somatotopic maps of the granule cell layer (Shambes *et al.* 1978) were set up to generate such waves, one would be able to code at most a few input-output sequences for each beam. Moreover, it has been shown that these fractured somatotopic maps show very little plasticity (Gonzalez *et al.* 1993), which means that an animal would be born with a limited fixed repertoire of effective input sequences. This seems a rather inefficient way to control the complex adaptive dynamics of the motor system.

A second component of the theory is the purely excitatory response along the hypothetical narrow parallel fiber beam. The authors specifically dismiss the presence of inhibitory responses of Purkinje cells within this beam. Instead, inhibition is suggested to suppress activation of Purkinje cells

lateral to the beam. We believe that available experimental data contradict this hypothesis. Anatomically, stellate cell axons connect to Purkinje cells in the immediate vicinity of parallel fibers activating these cells (Sultan *et al.* 1995), which does not indicate a spared region of pure excitation. Even early recordings looking for beams of activated Purkinje cells with direct electrical parallel fiber stimulation in primates (Bloedel *et al.* 1972), found predominantly inhibitory responses along the center of the activated parallel fiber beam. A similar finding was made in the anesthetized rat, when parallel fibers were activated with sensory stimulation (Bower & Woolston 1983). The final component of the theory is the "decoder", i.e. the Purkinje cell which is supposed to act as a coincidence detector responding mostly to waves of parallel fiber activity. The authors' own experimental data, however, show that the Purkinje cell seems to be a poor decoder of wave-like parallel fiber activity. Their figure 10B shows that for identical "ideal" inputs the latency of the Purkinje cell response varied by 7 ms and had a 20% failure rate. In fact, input movement in the "wrong" direction sometimes caused responses with similar latencies to 40% of the stimuli (Fig. 10C). With responses already so variable in the slice preparation where Purkinje cells are mostly silent, one wonders how these cells firing at rates of 40 Hz and higher *in vivo* could reliably signal the presence of a wave during actual motor behavior. These experimental results match our modeling studies which suggest that even *in vivo* Purkinje cells can be quite sensitive to fast changes in the rate of parallel fiber input, but with a large jitter of the response (De Schutter 1994) and with little sensitivity to actual coincidence of the input (unpublished results). In our view it is unlikely that the Purkinje cell is a coincidence detector, instead it performs a complex integration over time of excitatory and inhibitory input (Jaeger *et al.* 1996).

References

- Bloedel, J. R., Gregory, R. S. & Martin, S. H. (1972) Action of interneurons and axon collaterals in cerebellar cortex of a primate. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 35: 847-863.
- Bower, J. M. & Woolston, D. C. (1983) Congruence of spatial organization of tactile projections to granule cell and Purkinje cell layers of cerebellar hemispheres of the albino rat: vertical organization of cerebellar cortex. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 49: 745-766.
- Clark, B. & Häusser, M. (1995) Synaptic inhibition of cerebellar Purkinje cells by single interneurons. *Abstracts Society for Neuroscience* 21: 595.
- [De Schutter, E.](#) (1994) Modelling the cerebellar Purkinje cell: Experiments in computo. In *The self-organizing brain: from growth cones to functional networks*, ed. J. van Pelt, M. A. Corner, H. B. M. Uylings & F. H. Lopes da Silva, *Progress in Brain Research* 102: 427-441.
- [De Schutter, E. & Bower, J. M.](#) (1994) An active membrane model of the cerebellar Purkinje cell: II. Simulation of synaptic responses. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 71: 401-419.
- Gonzalez, L., Shumway, C., Morissette, J. & Bower, J. M. (1993) Developmental plasticity in cerebellar tactile maps: fractured maps retain a

fractured organization. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* 332: 487-498.

Heck, D. (1994) Rat cerebellar cortex *in vitro* responds specifically to moving stimuli. *Neuroscience Letters* 157: 95-98.

Jaeger, D., De Schutter, E. & Bower, J. M. (1996) The role of synaptic and voltage-gated currents in the control of Purkinje cell spiking: a modeling study. *The Journal of Neuroscience* in print.

Shambes, G. M., Gibson, J. M. & Welker, W. (1978) Fractured somatotopy in granule cell tactile areas of rat cerebellar hemispheres revealed by micromapping. *Brain Behavior and Evolution* 15: 94-140.

Sultan, F., Ellisman, M. H. & Bower, J. M. (1995) Quantitative anatomical aspects of the inhibitory interneurons of the rat cerebellar molecular layer: a light and electron microscopic Golgi study. *Abstracts Society for Neuroscience* 21: 1191.